Exhibit A

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 2 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

Conducted on April 18, 2019

2

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 NORTHERN DISTRICT CALIFORNIA 3 OAKLAND DIVISION 4 ---000---5 PLEXXIKON INC., 6 Plaintiff, 7 No. 4:17-cv-04405-HSG VS. 8 NOVARTIS PHARMACEUTICALS 9 CORPORATION, 10 Defendant. 11 12 13 14 Videotaped Deposition of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D., 15 taken on behalf of the Defendant, on Thursday, 16 April 18, 2019, at Durie Tangri, 217 Leidesdorff 17 Street, San Francisco, California, beginning 18 9:08 a.m., and commencing at 12:15 p.m., Pursuant 19 to Notice, and before me, ANDREA M. IGNACIO, CSR, 20 RPR, CRR, CLR ~ License No. 9830. 21 22 23 24 25

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 3 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

Conducted on April 18, 2019

ĺ	Conducted on April 16, 2019	Ī
1	problems.	09:14:41
2	A lot of the time, I'm more employed for my	09:14:41
3	medicinal chemistry expertise, which is not actually	09:14:44
4	involved in the synthesis, and in my sort of	09:14:48
5	peripheral knowledge of biology and general experience	09:14:51
6	in the industry.	09:14:56
7	Q But from time to time in your role as a	09:15:03
8	consultant, you get called upon to help companies	09:15:06
9	design ways to synthesize molecules; right?	09:15:10
10	A That is correct, yes.	09:15:14
11	Q Would you consider yourself an expert in the	09:15:15
12	Suzuki reaction?	09:15:19
13	A No, I would not.	09:15:20
14	Q Have you done any consulting work that has	09:15:23
15	involved doing Suzuki reactions?	09:15:26
16	A You mean other than this case?	09:15:35
17	Q Correct.	09:15:37
18	A I don't recall ever having done any detailed	09:15:44
19	work. I may have recommended that people try a Suzuki	09:15:48
20	reaction on occasion. But I have I I do not	09:15:51
21	recall any time where I went deeply into the weeds of	09:15:56
22	the Suzuki reaction.	09:16:00
23	Q Do you have any experience at all in doing	09:16:03
24	Suzuki reactions?	09:16:06
25	A Myself personally, I have never run a Suzuki	09:16:07

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 4 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

ī	Conducted on April 18, 2019	1
1	reaction.	09:16:10
2	Q Have you ever designed syntheses that	09:16:15
3	involved the Suzuki reaction for others to perform?	09:16:21
4	A I cannot unequivocally say no, but I cannot	09:16:29
5	recall having done that previously.	09:16:32
6	Q What strike that.	09:16:45
7	Would you describe your familiarity with	09:16:45
8	Suzuki reactions.	09:16:46
9	A Well, I prior to this case, I certainly	09:16:48
10	knew of them. They really began to come into	09:16:52
11	prominence, I would say, in the late 1990s, when they	09:16:57
12	became one of the new methods for making especially	09:17:02
13	biaryl compounds, which probably, prior to the	09:17:09
14	mid-'80,s had been a synthesis which was generally	09:17:14
15	considered difficult. And people tended to avoid	09:17:17
16	biaryls in drugs a great deal because there were not	09:17:21
17	good synthetic methods to make them.	09:17:25
18	And then several reactions, including the	09:17:27
19	Suzuki reaction, started to come into prominence, I'd	09:17:31
20	say, in the 1980s and the 1990s.	09:17:34
21	So I would be familiar with the idea that the	09:17:37
22	Suzuki reaction was a good reaction for making	09:17:41
23	biaryls.	09:17:47
24	Q And just to be clear, for those of us who	09:17:47
25	aren't chemists, a biaryl is an aryl-aryl bond; is	09:17:50

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 5 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

Conducted on April 18, 2019

ı	Conducted on April 18, 2019 49	I
1	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are now back on the	10:22:38
2	record. The time is 10:23.	10:22:41
3	MR. STEINDLER: Q. How did you come to be	10:22:43
4	engaged in this case?	10:22:45
5	A I was out working in my yard, and I got a	10:22:47
6	phone call from Denise Glassmeyer of Young Basile.	10:22:54
7	And that was my first intimation of this case.	10:23:00
8	Q How strike that.	10:23:06
9	What was your assignment?	10:23:07
10	A Well, I talked to the the lawyers at Young	10:23:17
11	Basile, and they explained to me what the case was	10:23:23
12	about. And initially, I obviously took a look at the	10:23:25
13	documentation and told them what I thought.	10:23:37
14	Q What were you asked to do?	10:23:38
15	A Initially, I think I I mean, I can't	10:23:48
16	recall exactly. But I think initially, I was asked to	10:23:52
17	take a look at the documentation and tell them what I	10:23:54
18	thought.	10:23:58
19	Q About what?	10:23:59
20	MR. SAMUELS: Objection; work product.	10:24:00
21	I instruct the witness to wait to answer	10:24:02
22	factual information about what was conveyed about the	10:24:04
23	nature of the assignment.	10:24:07
24	MR. STEINDLER: Well, I there is no	10:24:10
25	privilege between a testifying expert and any lawyers.	10:24:12

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 / WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 6 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

Conducted on April 18, 2019

10:41:05

1	So it may well be that I wrote it down early on, and	10:41:05
2	then used the literature to confirm it.	10:41:10
3	Or it may be that I wrote a slightly	10:41:13
4	different synthesis originally, and then, when I went	10:41:16
5	into the literature, decided that the one that we	10:41:19
6	ended up following was a better bet.	10:41:22
7	Q Did you actually run any reactions to try to	10:41:25
8	synthesize any of the steps in what ultimately	10:41:34
9	became strike that.	10:41:37
10	Did you run any reactions that are not	10:41:38
11	reported in your expert report?	10:41:40
12	A The there were, I think, 33 reactions run	10:41:47
13	in total. The expert report clearly reports on a	10:41:51
14	synthesis of the compound, and that in each case,	10:42:01
15	we'll use a particular example of a reaction.	10:42:04
16	And and rather obviously, if you're going	10:42:08
17	from A to B to C to D, you only will report reactions	10:42:11
18	which successfully carried out each of those steps.	10:42:16
19	Q Did you actually conduct reactions that did	10:42:19
20	not successfully carry out one or more of those steps?	10:42:24
21	A Yes.	10:42:27
22	Q Now, is that disclosed in your expert report?	10:42:27
23	A No, other than in the correction, where I	10:42:29
24	said that it was the third attempt at the Suzuki which	10:42:34
25	gave us the product on the road to dabrafenib.	10:42:38

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 7 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

Conducted on April 18, 2019

İ		I
1	In fact, I think I probably if you read	10:42:46
2	the text, I probably for instance, I mentioned that	10:42:48
3	there were brominations which failed.	10:42:51
4	The so I would have to go back and read	10:42:53
5	exactly what I said there, because I I may well	10:42:59
6	have pointed out that some things didn't work.	10:43:05
7	So okay. So for instance, in the	10:43:16
8	bromination on page 23, this paragraph 54, I wrote	10:43:16
9	although the and this is line 4:	10:43:23
10	"Although the conditions described in some of	10:43:25
11	the literature proved to be impractically slow"	10:43:27
12	Which clearly means we tried some things	10:43:38
13	which proved to be impractically slow. Then I said	10:43:38
14	how we made it work.	10:43:41
15	When when you report out chemistry, it is	10:43:42
16	not customary to report out a whole lot of things	10:43:44
17	which don't work, because nobody on Earth cares how	10:43:46
18	not to make a compound, normally.	10:43:53
19	Q Just to be clear, you're only reporting in	10:44:00
20	your expert report what actually worked, and didn't	10:44:03
21	report in your expert report the things that did not	10:44:06
22	work; is that correct?	10:44:10
23	A That would be correct, certainly as far as	10:44:11
24	the experimentals are concerned. It is not in any way	10:44:13
25	professional practice to write up experimentals on	10:44:19

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 8 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

Conducted on April 18, 2019

1	reactions which did not work.	10:44:22
2	Q Okay. How long did it take you, from the	10:44:25
3	time that you wrote down your syntheses for Compounds	10:44:35
4	1 and 2, to actually complete successfully those	10:44:42
5	reactions?	10:44:50
6	A I would say the synthetic protocol was	10:44:51
7	probably first written out somewhere in around August	10:44:53
8	of last year. Maybe the one we actually used was	10:44:59
9	written up a couple of months later. I I don't	10:45:04
10	recall exactly.	10:45:06
11	And I think the final product was signed off	10:45:08
12	on in the lab notebook on on the 5th of March	10:45:13
13	Q Did you	10:45:18
14	A of this year.	10:45:20
15	Q did you modify your original synthetic	10:45:40
16	protocol to come up with the one that you actually	10:45:47
17	used?	10:45:50
18	A I think originally, we probably I I	10:45:54
19	probably well, I did first consider following the	10:45:58
20	same polarity of the Suzuki reaction as is described	10:46:08
21	in Scheme 2.	10:46:12
22	At upon further examination of the	10:46:17
23	literature, I felt there would be a higher probability	10:46:20
24	of success if we ran the Suzuki the other way around.	10:46:23
25	And I did not expect to be in a position	10:46:28

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 9 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

Conducted on April 18, 2019

6	1
\mathbf{v}	т

1	where we would be able to spend a great deal of time	10:46:32
2	and resources looking at more than one route.	10:46:35
3	So at the end of the day, I had to go with	10:46:42
4	the route which, in my judgment, had the highest	10:46:44
5	probability of success.	10:46:47
6	Q How many different synthetic routes did you	10:46:48
7	consider for making Compound 2?	10:46:52
8	A Probably at least half a dozen pretty closely	10:47:00
9	related variants on the route, some of which I	10:47:06
10	probably discarded on the spot.	10:47:11
11	But this sort of coupling and, you know, the	10:47:14
12	fact, for instance, that the thiazole has two aryl	10:47:18
13	well, an aryl and a heteroaryl substituted on it,	10:47:24
14	means, for instance, I could have considered putting	10:47:30
15	on and I'm sure I did consider putting on the	10:47:32
16	pyrimidyl substituent using a Suzuki. But I chose to	10:47:37
17	use a Stille.	10:47:39
18	But I'm sure that all of these things were in	10:47:40
19	my routes at some point or another.	10:47:43
20	Q Did you do some experimentation with the	10:47:46
21	earlier iterations of your synthetic scheme that you	10:47:54
22	decided showed you that some of the steps didn't work?	10:47:57
23	A There was no experimentation done prior to	10:48:00
24	the execution of the scheme as was done by me. The	10:48:03
25	this by the time we decided by the time that	10:48:10
		1

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 10 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

Conducted on April 18, 2019

1	Plexxikon actually had signed contracts to run this	10:48:17
2	synthesis, we were already at the end of last year.	10:48:23
3	So we had to run the one scheme and hoped that it	10:48:29
4	worked.	10:48:35
5	Q How many different synthetic schemes did you	10:48:39
6	put together for Compound 1?	10:48:48
7	A I would think one.	10:49:00
8	Q Do you know whether Adesis ever made any	10:49:03
9	other compounds for this case, aside from the two that	10:49:06
10	they made at your direction?	10:49:11
11	A I have no knowledge of anything that Adesis	10:49:18
12	does outside of the contract that I supervised for	10:49:21
13	Plexxikon/Durie Tangri/Young Basile.	10:49:28
14	So I know only of such reactions. I have no	10:49:38
15	idea whether there are any others.	10:49:42
16	Q Did you keep records of the reactions that	10:49:46
17	you did run or had run for you that were unsuccessful?	10:49:52
18	A I have the records of the reactions which	10:49:57
19	were run on the contract for Plexxikon.	10:50:04
20	Q They are not included in your expert report;	10:50:10
21	is that correct?	10:50:13
22	A My expert report does not include them,	10:50:15
23	because my expert report was how compounds are made,	10:50:17
24	not how they are not made.	10:50:21
25	Q In the various iterations of your synthetic	10:50:31

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 11 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

Conducted on April 18, 2019

О	1	
0		

1	due to the court by March 12th, we really didn't have	11:13:04
2	the time to explore further routes in any way.	11:13:07
3	Q When you said "the synthetic campaign at	11:13:13
4	Adesis," what did that include?	11:13:17
5	A That included all of the reactions shown in	11:13:19
6	my report.	11:13:24
7	Q It also included reactions not shown in your	11:13:25
8	report that were failed reactions; correct?	11:13:29
9	A They there were various com sometimes	11:13:31
10	repeats of reactions which didn't work, then worked	11:13:36
11	when repeated. And on one or two occasions, we had to	11:13:39
12	change the conditions somewhat on the reactions.	11:13:43
13	Q How many people at Adesis were involved in	11:13:47
14	the synthetic campaign?	11:13:53
15	A There were two people at Adesis who were	11:13:55
16	directly involved in some fashion with the synthetic	11:14:00
17	campaign.	11:14:03
18	Q How long did it take them to make the	11:14:12
19	dabrafenib molecule following the synthetic scheme	11:14:16
20	that you gave them?	11:14:19
21	A Well, first of all, there were two people at	11:14:20
22	Adesis involved. One of them was purely involved in	11:14:25
23	the communication between myself and the chemist.	11:14:28
24	There was one bench chemist, Dr. Guan Lin	11:14:37
25	Bao, who worked, I would assume, 40 working days at	11:14:43

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 12 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

	Conducted on April 18, 2019 82	Ī
1	the bench, minus perhaps a couple of public holidays.	11:14:48
2	So I would say probably 38 to 40 working days	11:14:53
3	were involved by one chemist.	11:14:59
4	Q And so just so I'm clear, 38 to 40 working	11:15:03
5	days were involved to make one compound; is that	11:15:06
6	right?	11:15:09
7	A No, to make two compounds well, to	11:15:09
8	complete the synthesis of two compounds. Obviously,	11:15:14
9	all the intermediates had to be made along the way.	11:15:16
10	Q Do you know how long it took Adesis to make	11:15:20
11	one of the compounds?	11:15:25
12	A I can give you the answer for Compound 1, I	11:15:33
13	think. Well, I'm I I can answer that question	11:15:33
14	if you get a little more particular.	11:15:38
15	Q Why don't you give me the answer that	11:15:43
16	that that you say you have.	11:15:45
17	A I believe that Compound 1 was the notebook	11:15:46
18	was signed, if I recall correctly, on the 12th of	11:15:50
19	January. And I think the synthetic campaign started	11:15:57
20	on the 5th of January. So that would presumably be	11:16:01
21	five working days.	11:16:04
22	Q And how about for Compound 2? How long did	11:16:09
23	that take?	11:16:11
24	A That was signed off, I think, on the 12th	11:16:12
25	of no, 5th of March. Sorry.	11:16:15

Case 4:17-cv-04405-HSG Document 158-1 Filed 04/29/19 Page 13 of 13

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL PURSUANT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER Transcript of Alexander Bridges, Ph.D.

Conducted on April 18, 2019

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	I, ANDREA M. IGNACIO, hereby certify that the
4	witness in the foregoing deposition was by me duly
5	sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing
6	but the truth in the within-entitled cause;
7	That said deposition was taken in shorthand
8	by me, a disinterested person, at the time and place
9	therein stated, and that the testimony of the said
10	witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by
11	computer, under my direction and supervision;
12	That before completion of the deposition,
13	review of the transcript [] was [x] was not
14	requested. If requested, any changes made by the
15	deponent (and provided to the reporter) during the
16	period allowed are appended hereto.
17	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
18	attorney for either or any of the parties to the said
19	deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of
20	this cause, and that I am not related to any of the
21	parties thereto.
22	Dated:
23	andrea M. Ignacio
24	ANDREA M. IGNACIO, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR, CSR No. 9830
25	